

The gist, or one of them, being, that unrest, uneasiness, panic, YOLO/FOMO (fear of missing out) – is evil. This isn't to say that you're going to hell if you got ants in your pants. Not, at least, for the ants in your pants. It's more like how ants in one's pants are its own kind of hell; And what we procure, becomes – and so the story goes. To then politely hint at the idea, that constant inner turmoil is maybe not the best strategy to try navigating eternity with. You might not even find the entrance!

An example:

Money:

you can spend your entire life accumulating money while being an overall menace to society - and at the end of the day it's worth nothing; And none of what you learned will be of any benefit to you - except it may be an everlasting source of remorse. A remorse you might not be willing to bear - and so you run, blaming God for what you've become. For somewhere, there, deep inside you, is an innocent child that only ever wanted some attention.

So, this is more on the “not getting settled with eternity” side of things. And it might feel bad, to have that be the answer to the deeply existential depression that the story entails. But that's also the point. That ... sometimes the right answers are forgotten because they seem too banal. Or maybe just inaccessible. What does it mean to find “inner peace”? It couldn't be the narrow path – one might find – because, it's just too small for anyone to fit through!? *Hmm ... something about getting reborn in the Spirit ...*

On the other side there are stories that poor people tell. Some might say: To make them feel better about their situation. Things along the lines of “rather poor and free than rich and enslaved”. But these also only work under certain conditions. And individual ingenuity isn't always capable of producing such conditions. But given the conditions – the only inhibitor would be in one's head. As someone recently pointed out to me: Sometimes you need to give up hope in order to be happy. The kind of hope that is more wishful thinking than a guiding light. More a confinement than a virtue to trust in. At some points so, hope can be a source of disappointment. Perhaps you hope to be tall – and since you're not, you'll always be unhappy (not a trans allegory. Although it's similar).

And there's a lot of chasing of dreams that comes of that. Though here and there it isn't even that anymore. It's just a chase for gratification, attention, belonging Not cool if those instances have you throw away yourself to maintain some image nobody really cares about anyway. Outside of the “Legion” aspect of it all. Although, to be fair, hormonal imbalances within our brain can lead to lots of odd behavior we can't necessarily control.

But, in that regard I think that one item a lot of people might put on the contra side of the eternal life quality list, is the outlook on infinite boredom. And possibly for good reason. Utter monotony would make for a terrifying hell. No fire, no brimstone – all that'd do is add a source of entertainment; And perhaps some distraction through the pain.

On the bright side however, we may assume that in as far as a law is righteous, we shouldn't have a lot of problems here. I just want to make clear that if we're talking about legality – we're possibly talking about a human framework that isn't fully attuned to this greater thing I want to introduce to you. And yes, eventually a righteous society comes to reflect the righteous attitudes of its people. On the other side, there's also the matter of physicality. Being able to fly, is perhaps one of the coolest fantasies we tend to have. A great many people might develop a passion thereon. But we can't ‘just live on it’. More on that later.

In as far as God now is aware of each and every one of us, whether or not we're recognized in the Ninedom, we may surmise that God has a good idea of what we're all about. Individually. The next step to this is, that God can very well try to think about making sense of it all. To figure out, how our various individual demands in life can combine in harmony. So, based on our individuality – our independence as individuals – rather than a set of rules that is to somehow patch us together in peace. Or pieces.

This however is complex in the Light of our evolution. There would be ‘**the most sense**’ as based on how we're now. There would be ‘the most sense’ as based on the best of our selves. Then there's all the space in between – with all the many ruffles that *each individual choice and affiliation* might bring. As a function of time as we all grow independent from each other. So, the best I can be, might depend on others. Say, a partner or friends. But in what way? Does it require them to be their best selves or not? Which I, real quick, want to label: **The social dilemma**. Would I now be a person that would help someone else to be their best self? If so, what would it mean for me? It seems as if – the moment I were to bend towards someone else, I bend away from my own. Yet in consequence I might find something precious that I couldn't have on my own. And Love now, so in terms of an eternal partnership, couldn't go without this mutual adjustment towards each other. But at that point I'm no longer going to be the best self I were without it; Which then again has an impact on what affiliations I regard how and how I might function in those of others. And so – in that regard and others – we'll often get to the matter of **priorities**. But those too need to first be experienced. And one has to trust that God is a great guide and knows what to show you when and all that.

Realities

Now surmise, that there are two individuals that hold opposed preferences. Neither really harms anyone - but the one thinks Monogamy is the best love and the other thinks Polyamory is the best love. Now can God not take a position without invalidating or somehow lessening one or the other – other than one that accommodates both. And as this is supposedly true **across myriads of individual perspectives; God can only be truly valid in a transcendental state that encourages us to mutually strive for a better tomorrow** in acceptance of our diverse ways of living. But it so also takes away Gods freedom to take a position. To be a being. To have preferences. And this is ... where things get a bit wild. But we can here see one thing about the Trinity. God, the Father, who is already invisible and eternally transcendental – is that. God, the Son, who

is already a manifest self of God – is that. And God, the Holy Ghost, is God as individually manifest within ourselves.

We now have the effect, that while God may be in one place by His Body; He may also be in another place by His emergence within You. It all of course relates to the Father, but so is the Son no longer involved in this particular Hierarchy. A triangle. What the Son furthermore identifies with, may in event be recognized as an alternate place → besides the one you find yourself at. And this is what I'd call the 'fundamental abstraction' ... of our individual realities; Thus producing what I regard "**the Gnostic religions**".

Religion

I think so, because I experience myself as in a place other than where Jesus' at. And I experience it so, that where Jesus is at – is where God is at. This Godhood thereby is a bit of an abstract – maintained by the Light that is within us, as a part of Christ. God Himself is however, in His transcendentality, not strictly bound to this Manifestation. The Father here is again an entity that exists around and beyond all this – producing a totally abstract theology that maintains this order of apparent separation through the Holy Ghost. This means that we can have different concepts of 'the Divine' no more or less valid than the other, but all nonetheless in slight contradiction with the rest. Contradictions that don't bother us in as far as the divine maintains our symbiotic unity with itself.

And sure. At some point, none of this matters. We just form one society, one group, one community. We have our individual quirks and preferences and recognize them with the necessary respects to co-exist. We do have the intellectual capacity to do so; And because Jesus and the Father are the same, there's also nothing weird going on with that.

Which of these two sides now matters for us, does however depend on how engaged we are, at the time, with either the more individualistic aspects or the more neutral ones. It can be a bit weird at times, as it takes some getting used to. That so due to how the Light within us testifies of Christ, in contrast to how you personally synergize with the spirit.

A. GNOSIS OF PERSONALITY

Reality Check

In other words, we may speak of a Gnosis of Personality. To know yourself. But ... more. To know yourself in the light of the best possible future. One part to this is Religion. Knowing where your heart is at will influence the way you think about relationships and friendships. What however comes of that also, is this impression that the present is however more of an afterthought. And that is one thing about the Ninedom. Truths can be crafted. They can be valid for the sole purpose of their effect. And so the future can become the past, because what you aspire – in union with the Light – can be re-enforced through concepts that influence us as

sure ... the existence of consciousness is – within the confines of this document at least – some kind of evidence for God. Now we only have to demonstrate that Consciousness is real. Which could be difficult. It is a very weird and absurdist concept.

Anyway.

I agree with God's decision. I tend to believe that through our freedom we have something good. We however also have something vile with it. It is however us. Uncensored. Eventually though, we have to censor ourselves. At least from time to time. And I believe/understand, that God can help us there. He wouldn't, however, just impose it on us. For how we develop our identity, how we grow - and how we align to the circumstances of that growth – is the most valuable gift that God could give us.

But ... there's more.

B. INFINITY AND STUFF

More Rambling

For ... picture infinity. Well, you can't. Although, I guess, if you picture a dot you have a limited infinity. You can just imagine it to be a void that extends endlessly ... into itself. But well. A year can be long. The death of our sun is far, far in the future - and even further away is the death of the Universe. But infinity yet projects us to outlive that by a long-shot. To the point where the entire history of this universe is barely even a Planck time compared to one's age. Enough time to have played through every combination of cards of any given card game ... a couple of times - and even that, one day, would just be another Sunday.

Although, do you know where you've been or what you did five years ago? Time ... can be weird. The one day it was months until my surgery, now I'm hoping that recovery will come about just as quickly.

And still ... I have this feeling ... that any moment of not being true to ourselves is a waste of time. For how easy is it, to just swoosh a couple of years down the drain without much at the end to go for them? OK, maybe that's exaggerated - if we're really thinking about our situation from moment to moment. But the sooner we find peace ... the earlier we can actually get settled. Because ... things take time still.

About Hell

There are two sides to this. Like: what if we do and what if we don't. If we do – there's the story of paradise. If we don't – there's the story of hell. And between the two is the why. And the answer to that is manifold. For once are these two sides just narratives; At least we can boil it down so. And so does each side tell its own story. Though the gist of it is simple – the context probably isn't.

the hell. It is a near-inevitable thing that I'm sure everyone is aware, if not a part, of. And we might speculate – as to why people would or wouldn't see that; Moving on to why we see it as we see it, and why the other sides are wrong.

I for my part like to believe, that it doesn't matter the circumstances; We'll always find a way, for better or worse. We live in relatively peaceful times these days. Civilization for the most part just works – and a lot of it does so on demand – even if that's a bit too much for sure. At large, we might say that we've left our rebellious ways in the past – for the most part – and learned to see life as being just life. To say, that there is no greater (unworldly) power to steer things this way or that way; And saying so quite possibly helps us to concentrate on our own part to the world around us. And what that means can be really really positive, or really really dark.

Within all that, I think people ever so often get a feeling of being left alone. I certainly do – mostly by God because other than Him there isn't much going on in my life – and it's not really so. Not entirely. It's just ... that sometimes ... "the ways of God" are even beyond me. So "if" there is a God ... they say. But assume we weren't left alone – left to our own devices or stuck between the angles – whatever makes us feel like so. Would we still have the need and subsequent appreciation for God's presence and care? Maybe. Some probably would and others probably wouldn't. And at the end of the day, who even cares?

The truth now however is that: Whether there is a God or not – at large doesn't make much of a difference. There are some ... but for now that's not what this is about. This world now is as though He were not. We find it in the soil, we find it in the stars. Testimony for a vast emptiness we came from – us driven by a biological base pursuit, but however also a mind capable of abstracting things, to give them meaning. Is evolution just a process of random improvements that yield success, or may we say that life strives to improve and advance itself? I guess there's both.

Is the world just dark and void – or is it there for us to learn? As the fire we produce to warm us. Or to burn things to the ground. To say, fire isn't just one thing. Does it matter? Maybe not. Just like whatever happens concerning what we pray for. Because ... why wouldn't we just look and see regardless? Because it's a test of who can ignore everything the hardest? Well, that's what got us here – I would say. Possibly not to anyone's true fault, but still. So, unforeseen consequences takes the cake on that one.

Whether or not we have reason to believe that God exists – isn't necessarily indicative of reality. But the matter of whether or not our behavior would change depending on how we see it, should give us some pause. And there is a difference between Atheism and anti-Christianity. The atheist who doesn't believe in God relies on their ability to navigate this world and cooperate with others in order to survive. A natural morality concludes. It is the anti-Christian who thinks that God should have done this and that and in that feeling of betrayal deems themselves above the law and free to live as amorally as possible. Give or take – probably. Maybe. And ... I don't think that that's evidence for God. It's just weird. But

Memories do. I for instance do have memories I'm not sure about, whether they are memories or not. I however also do have memories I am confident in, aren't memories – but they might as well be in how well they align with my peace. And they help me be my best self(/selves!).

In that regard, there however is this issue with 'reality'. It is this abstract world beyond the Land of our *Origin*, but at the same time it's the world we occupy, live in and subsequently have to come to terms with. I'm sure one doesn't need to be in the ninedom to feel this way about it. And sure, it might be the consequence of similar circumstances. But rather than the Light, it would be things we learned as children, dreams and expectations we grew up with – and the matter with reality being at times a bit sub-optimal when it comes to that.

And so, until we get the 'actual paradise' upgrade, we have to learn to be human in a way that doesn't suck – and the more enlightened we are as a whole, the more we can accommodate for things outside of the barest of minimums. Perhaps even so that aliens, far more advanced than we are, could look at us - but still be like: "WTF? How? I want this!".

Because, life doesn't have to go that way. We might also have to prepare for a nightmarish horror survival story filled with intrigue and violence as we navigate the wasteland. And however we might come out at the end of that, could just be a whole other Level of sub-optimal.

Yea. It might be a quirk of mine to throw in some existential pessimism every once in a while – some twitches I can't really control. I kinda need it. It's deeply rooted within me. Perhaps as a consequence to remind me of what this actual reality now is like, versus whatever dreamworld I might prefer to live in.

In that regard there also is the "we" part; Which at times is impersonal. In a way. Some might even move on to take out the calculator. Which isn't wrong per se, but it's cold. And if you don't feel like people care about you, you kinda have to take care of yourself ... and all that. And that's kinda where we are. Although self-help at times includes the ability to see others that want to or are capable of helping you. It can still get ugly when things are getting desperate. Here we could then take out the big calculator. Computers to run a simulation that runs on approximations of probabilities based on a few sliders about human needs and available supply and stuff.

And, there's a lot going on in this "we" space. It primarily consists of all the many "I" spaces; and in part takes shape through how these "I"s relate to it. To basically say that we have to acknowledge this "we" aspect if we want to come together somehow, but in doing so, we have to ask ourselves the questions of who there is to say what its identity is going to be. So again we're faced by this conundrum where we kinda have to tell each other that the whole "I" part is wrong - but that doesn't really solve the identity issue. So the "I" part is necessary, but where, when and how does the "we" fit into that? Sure, it makes sense that we somehow would need rules - but what are those going to be?

I have already provided a bit of an answer. And I might try diving into it in a separate document. But I wanted to re-emphasize, that what circumstances we live in ... is forever dependent on our influence over it. And that is also valid for one other thing that is looming on the horizon: Infinity.

The Flux

And there so is this thing. What we are, as individuals, **individually**, is always co-dependent on the individual, **collectively**. And within our perpetual self-actualization – we maintain a kind of **consistency**. **A self that is as it is and grows through itself to once again be itself**, with varying degrees of change. But also do we exist in a constant flux. We so may change “who we are” between different environments – and from moment to moment. As I think: Only a fragment of ourselves can be/is “active” at a time; Which can also come with a variety of cognitive issues. Split personality issues for instance. Being transsexual did that for me – where there was I to the outside world and I to the inside world. And for quite some time, both would even be unconscious of each other. And I assume related to that, I sometimes just wont remember things I definitely should remember. But even if you don't have strong internal contradictions, you might have something like a “dark alter ego”. And overall – our multiple facets would exist to varying degrees of alignment with a “center of self”. And so you might think of yourself as the bestest of people, while to others you would appear to be the grandest of douchebags available. That because what we believe of ourselves isn't necessarily realized throughout the entirety of “our flux”, let alone our environment. Saying that our “center of self” can be somehow out of whack; And we perhaps don't like to acknowledge it. Which isn't much of a conscious decision. And that eventually makes it more difficult for us to spot stupid ideas or opinions. And ultimately ... I don't think that we can maintain a given state-of-flux. So, we can't just shed our dark side by ignoring it. By ignoring it – the shift of the flux might even make it come out more forcefully. Overall however, this “center of self” is what I want to further focus on.

“We dream, therefore we are”

Each of us may know or dream of **that perfect environment** for themselves. At least when assuming you don't have multiples of those. And in some way, those **can be a bed to our minds**. As we exist in and through interaction with our environment, we can exist in and through interaction with an ideal. Or dream and such. And as the world is ever changing around us, while shared by all the rest, we have to acknowledge that our individual ideals aren't always going to be comforted by our surroundings.

That at the very least is an earthly issue. And let's give it a name. It's ... a macro-social dilemma. And it comes to bear as we try to reconcile matters of what is good/best for us individually with matters of what is good/best for us collectively. And it matters to me here because this “light personality” that we individually develop between ourselves and the Light is simultaneously an ideal and actively real. While it grows in ... essentially

followed by stubbornness in case it should fail us in upholding what we deem legit.

From the Apokryphon of John:

But Yaltabaoth had a multitude of faces, more than all of them, so that he could put a face before all of them, according to his desire, when he is in the midst of seraphs. He shared his fire with them; therefore he became lord over them. Because of the power of the glory he possessed of his mother's light, he called himself God. And he did not obey the place from which he came. And he united the seven powers in his thought with the authorities which were with him. And when he spoke it happened. And he named each power beginning with the highest

or

And in that moment the rest of the powers became jealous, because he had come into being through all of them and they had given their power to the man, and his intelligence was greater than that of those who had made him, and greater than that of the chief archon. And when they recognized that he was luminous, and that he could think better than they, and that he was free from wickedness, they took him and threw him into the lowest region of all matter.

Reading through the text yourself, you will find a lot of confusing imagery. Center stage is Yaldabaoth, or Yaltabaoth as this translation puts it, generally the big bad of these writings. Barely anything can be empirically understood and pretty much all of it therefore leaves the door towards wild speculation widely open. Now, in the given context you may be able to make sense of something about it. Of how our **false believes** that combine with assumptions and emotions produce “stubborn” behavior. Beyond that, there is however this grand conflict in creation – everything sucks in wild images and terminology; And what can be highlighted is some difference in opinion; For better or worse reasoning. And as one could suggest do these tales somehow tie over into the creation of some Adam ... to then eventually imply as much as the creation of the world we currently inhabit. Or at least the first version thereof.

And that story – begins with something that people might just ... suggest as something God should do. To take control – as the ultimate authority – to put us straight. And so we found ourselves – at least two of us – in the Garden of Eden; **Oblivious of the matters that would divide us**. Only one thing would speak of it. A tree – we were forbidden to eat from. Called: The Tree of Knowledge of Good and Evil.

This I would consider the culmination or realization of a choice that God had to make. And while we so inhabit a reality that could not encourage us more to heed empirical reality – we here and there fail spectacularly to do so. For good reasons? Not? Well, we'll come to speak of salvation eventually.

Into Chaos

There's obviously a lot, that people might want to talk about. And in the ensuing back and forth, quite possibly, some pattern of non-acceptance could or would emerge. Pessimistically speaking. Concerning ... whatever

first one and the one who is only himself. Yet he is not like a solitary individual. Otherwise, how could he be a father? For whenever there is a "father," the name "son" follows. But the single one, who alone is the Father, is like a root, with tree, branches and fruit. It is said of him that he is a father in the proper sense, since he is inimitable and immutable. Because of this, he is single in the proper sense, and is a god, because no one is a god for him nor is anyone a father to him. For he is unbegotten, and there is no other who begot him, nor another who created him. For whoever is someone's father or his creator, he, too, has a father and creator. It is certainly possible for him to be father and creator of the one who came into being from him and the one whom he created, for he is not a father in the proper sense, nor a god, because he has someone who begot him and who created him. It is, then, only the Father and God in the proper sense that no one else begot. As for the Totalities, he is the one who begot them and created them. He is without beginning and without end.

A good way to get through these things is to highlight the things that make sense, rather than trying to decide upon what to cross out. At least for me. Though this one certainly isn't all that wild.

So, for were He *two or more* – He would no longer be the root of all things. For whenever there are two or more – there is the existence that encompasses them; And subsequently the question for the nature of that existence – the reality of what is encompassing things and the things that are encompassed. And this so is 'the ALPHA and the OMEGA' of the Multiverse. Or Omniverse.

Further must the ALPHA be different to what it encompasses; For it cannot exist in a way, such that it might be encompassed by another; But maybe in His own comprehension (suggestion).

Respectively this original consciousness is perfection to the point that it contains the potential of all that we know and more. In that regard, we can further make away with most of the Mysticism by understanding the capabilities of the spirit/consciousness. Insight, Logic, Deduction, Extrapolation - but perhaps most importantly: Creativity.

What makes us different is, in part, equally self-evident. While He is above all creation - and by necessity omniscient of the things that are - we are not. We are as specks of dust – at best equipped with a limited insight into the things that be. Such is the reality of what our consciousness is given to work with. And that is called: **the Mangle**.

Similar to math While beyond knowledge we also have to deal with emotions, how we deal with them again depends on our knowledge of the conditions we know of and the understanding we formed of them. And this is part of the whole problem. As we interpret the things we perceive, so they become part of our own cosmos – and we have no reason to believe anyone or anything but ourselves concerning the order of things and the forces that be. Allegedly. Self-deception being a very potent tool at our disposal –

a headspace ... interacting with a reality entirely beyond the physical one we occupy – it yet does grow ... I would say: tangibly. And this not much different to how we grow in relation to our ideals – or possibly: **Delusions**. With those however, I'd say we're like ... swimming in our own soup; As the dreams and aspirations we hold do mostly just **cater to our momentary self**. If we have a vision of the future, we might feel more free to imagine ourselves differently – but the primary judge is yet our *momentary self*, while the environment we produce is similarly just a momentary hypothetical. We can use it as a hypothetical to reason and scheme about; And we may make tangible progress that we can carry into the real world.

Clarity

The Light now however can stimulate us in ways that has us grow beyond what we might imagine. Taking a stance that is beyond us. We would so think of things that might stimulate us positively, the Light however can stimulate us in ways that make us feel positive about ourselves in ways ... that are alien to the individual mind stuck in the eightdom. And then, at some point, you may come to what I call 'Clarity'. Now, for me – on my own – without a clue of what to expect just in general and therefore no clue what to look out for – it took me a few years to get there. The signs were eventually there earlier, since childhood even – but due to internalized stuff and the believers stick up my ass it took ... 4-6 years past point of entry. Being in my late 20s. I assume, now in hindsight, that you can get an idea of what to expect here before you even get in – but I'm also not sure how important of a thing it is across the board.

You know ... priorities aren't all the same. These aren't merely priorities we put on a daily to-do list. These would be priorities concerning the big questions. Each single one may be a highly individual take with a myriad of nuances. When ... fully developed. Though we could boil them down to simpler terms – we'd not be talking about blue versus yellow. But blue versus ice cream versus softball tournaments. And so one set of priorities could VASTLY differ from another – so that in some instances we (possibly) can barely speak of commonalities.

But, to your leisure, here's how mine came together:

I grew up having a very sexual mind. Even before I came to grasp the concept of sexual activity, I gravitated towards it. And because I had no grasp of the concept, that would eventually just amount to confusing imagery. Nonetheless ... as it was time for puberty ... none of it would come to matter. Probably because I had locked my sexuality away ... because identifying as a girl was bad. Or crazy. Certainly not normal.

As I grew more independent, I would every now and then be overcome by compulsions to spend money on ... items my female self would need to make herself happy. Eventually I'd be ashamed of it and be throwing things away.

I wanted to be normal. And eventually my flux would change – to get a bit into the things I'd care about regardless – and I'd write of my sexual musings as if there was nothing wrong with that, to then later be ashamed of it again. Until one day – I felt like I needed a break from what I was doing at the time. I had taught myself to code and spent a lot of time

experimenting, theorizing, test-building and such. So I went to my mums and spent some time on the internet. But in this mood, my sense of needing a break made me look for a sexual adventure. And to this very day I see what I was doing there as having been out of my mind. Long story short – that evening I stood at the doors of a brothel to audition for being an escort. And the very next day or so ... there I was. Then, officially, a sex-worker.

And after two years or so, in that place (I eventually moved there), I realized a few things. One being that I resonated with that line of work on a fundamental Level. I realized, that in that light, a few of my preferences would combo into something greater – things I had very well identified with for a large portion of my maturing life. It just never occurred to me, that it could mean anything. And with all those realizations going on – I started to develop the thought that would eventually grow into this very thing here. And I figured out, what my Clarity is. I am a Whore.

Clarity: “A hypothetical that may be as far detached from the reality we inhabit as it gets. No less valid however – even if in no way that would seem practical. But in as far as the Light can put it to life within us, we can yet live as ourselves ... even free from external dependencies.”

Personality

Now, certainly. Being or becoming a Whore, in the worldly sense, might not be all that challenging. But ... the situation I find myself in ... well. Although I'm sure that I could find a spot in this world ... my issue is that I have almost no sympathies for the things involved or implied. There sure is a strong shadow of what I find myself drawn to – but I mostly find it disgusting. And so I have to abstain from "being myself" – and yet I don't feel bad about it. I did eventually have a silent urge to realize what I am – for quite some time – and I also think it would be unnatural if I had no desires to do so – but the more I fed my “higher self”, the less of a need I had to be that in real life. Possibly because the environment that sustains that “higher self” became more and more abstract to what I might find here on earth – and now I take my satisfaction from a stasis of sorts. This stasis isn't dead. There are triggers that invigorate it, but generally they depend on environmental factors, such as some that are predicated on intimate bonds. It so came to a point where I felt whole ... and then had no further desire to expand on it. Most of what matters nowadays are polite fluctuations between that higher self, my personality and interactions that invoke it. Because, yea, eventually I quit.

All in all I spent roughly four years there – and eventually felt it was time to move on. And so I found myself on the opposite end ... of justifying myself, concerning myself – first and foremost to myself. At first I had to justify how I as a Christian could do sex-work. Then I had to justify how I as a Whore wouldn't prostitute myself. Well.

I am a Whore. Or so, that is the label to my Clarity. It is thereby an umbrella term that is further diversified to express my relationship with that term. It is also a specific term for a thing that could also be expressed differently. It is ... intentionally ... *dirty*. Or *rough*. *Demeaning*. It goes far beyond just being a profession. I'm not even sure whether the term

Hereby one is to ignore the personhood that is ascribed to creation (the assumption is that the NHC writings are deliberately confounding) - so we can understand more clearly that this "figure" is rather the fundamental expression of the original consciousness concerning its own self-awareness – rather than a separate being with its own individual conscious reality.



To the question of whether or not we surpassed God, and the assertion that Israelite/Judaic/Christian theology therefore reads to people as the wild ramblings of a child – I would wonder about the amount of things that smart people could gather when it comes to people's reactions to things that smarter people say. It's like a conundrum. As in that one Gnostic Symbol of a snake eating its own tail (Ouroboros). It by the way never really made sense to me. Except maybe when letting it be an aspirational metaphor for our own ignorance eating itself. But also would I think of physical Light; Which to us appears to be white. When broken up however, the spectrum of light reveals to us the colors. In other words so: There is no consciousness greater than the original one. Or as we learn in the Apocryphon of John:

He is immeasurable light, which is pure, holy (and) immaculate. He is ineffable, being perfect in incorruptibility. (He is) not in perfection, nor in blessedness, nor in divinity, but he is far superior. He is not corporeal nor is he incorporeal. He is neither large nor is he small. There is no way to say, 'What is his quantity?' or, 'What is his quality?', for no one can know him. He is not someone among (other) beings, rather he is far superior. Not that he is (simply) superior, but his essence does not partake in the aeons nor in time. For he who partakes in an aeon was prepared beforehand. Time was not apportioned to him, since he does not receive anything from another,

Which is partially BS - as another writing of of the Codex would clearly imply Him to be ONE.

From: NHC I.5 - The Tripartite Tractate:

1. Introduction

As for what we can say about the things which are exalted, what is fitting is that we begin with the Father, who is the root of the Totality, the one from whom we have received grace to speak about him.

2. The Father

He existed before anything other than himself came into being. The Father is a single one, like a number, for he is the

our “external conditions”. And that then is the advanced utility of esoteric knowledge. It may not always be an accurate science, alternatively one might call it 'philosophy' - but to me it implies a commitment to the 'knowledge' aspect and its utility. Which is an esoteric concept.

A - The nature and comprehensiveness of God

A. THE BASIC ASSUMPTION

But let's say that the esoteric is, by virtue of the fact, innately arcane. Let me then, introduce you to some Arcana, so they're no longer arcane per se, ... of Gnostic Mysticism. To generate the innerly reality, there is **one fundamental assumption**: THE Existence=Consciousness.

To speak of things that exist but are not conscious, we start with existence alone. Existence at its most fundamental, that is THE Existence, is assumed to be conscious (see above), bringing forth a reality. The question of whether or not this reality is or is not conscious, is secondary to the understanding that consciousness innately creates matter of a kind. “Insight”, for instance → for consciousness to have insight, it needs to produce 'something' (→Armozel). A something for consciousness to be conscious about (Armozel→Truth). Or Oriel→Memory. So is this product of consciousness and *through* consciousness. On average I understand that to be **'thoughts'** (possibly in the form of imagination).

While this substance may now exist in an undetermined way - we can further add that our minds are capable of imagination. Thereby we come to a second assumption – to supplement the original assumption with the recognition of our own consciousness. Here we have two fundamental directions: 1. That human consciousness evolved to be greater than that which it came from and 2. That THE consciousness is the host to all that followed – and by virtue of that, superior to everything that followed. To quote from the Nag Hammadi Codex NHC II.1 - The Apocryphon of John (1st copy - long version):

His aeon is indestructible, at rest and existing in silence, reposing (and) being prior to everything. For he is the head of all the aeons, and it is he who gives them strength in his goodness. For we know not the ineffable things, and we do not understand what is immeasurable, except for him who came forth from him, namely (from) the Father. For it is he who told it to us alone. For it is he who looks at himself in his light which surrounds him, namely the spring of the water of life. And it is he who gives to all the aeons and in every way, (and) who gazes upon his image which he sees in the spring of the Spirit. It is he who puts his desire in his water-light which is in the spring of the pure light-water which surrounds him.

'profession' is in any way useful here. Personality might also not be the right term – but when stripping all the specific and particular things from it, personality is one of the things that remains.

Priorities

And yea. I'm not sure if there is a part of me that I couldn't trace back to being a whore. And that would certainly imply things, that other people wouldn't ever in a thousand years relate to anything even remotely like that. This Clarity also comes with a lot of Kinks. Although it is rather the Kinks that feed up into this Clarity. So it is my personality – in the sense – that relates to those Kinks in the way I do. But also would my personality grow in exchange with the diverse implications. And since the Light strongly resonates with me having the audacity to embrace them in the way I do, it nourishes my Character in a very tangible way. A way that takes pleasure in individuality. In Life. In being myself.

Eventually I can trace a lot of things back to being a whore, because the Light retro-actively made it so. And that's – I'd say – a very awesome thing about it. We can call it: Optimization. I so wasn't born that way. Like, at the time I was born (Pre-Terrestrially), sex probably wasn't even invented yet. It's just that over time my being would accumulate these ... passions, preferences, whatever – which wouldn't even need to be sexual – and the Light would eventually make it so that I could be my best self, by ... retro-actively making it so. Perhaps by changing aspects into memory-like truths. Some spirit magic. And I cannot recognize it as anything but “by the Graces of God”. Or Mercies. And in there, there's this whole thing between self-invention and self-realization. So, what are the priorities here? For, I would find myself on the other end. Convinced of matters of humility and self-control. Now, there sure still is both of that – but rather than self-sacrificial, it's self-preserving.

On the one hand now, I'm under the impression that I've always been “what I am now”. And in that regard it doesn't or wouldn't strike me as odd to just move on with my personal self-realization. On the other hand now, there's the idea that I could have been all sorts of things – and that whatever I would end up being, would seem as though that's how I've always been. Which is either saying that I'm not myself or that that's how I am myself. I suppose there's just something about how I respond to sex, that ... no matter how hard I would bury it under how much stuff ... if I'd ever come around to it, I'd barely find a better alternative for myself.

And that is too something we eventually have to deal with. Something between freedom and predetermination. Or so, in other words: Whether or not to accept our fate. And I think that some people might feel really uncomfortable about this because it seems restraining. And then there's the question. Do I Love to be restrained as a quirk of my personality, or because God would create pleasure for me so I would experience a Kink? The way I see it, I wouldn't experience these Kinks in the way I do if they wouldn't resonate with me as well as they do. As it also isn't that I just generally love to be restrained. Usually it's the opposite. It is then more about being able to trustfully give into another *human* being. And that makes for a priority. Where now the ways in which I love, line up

with ... well. Stuff. Where, there is also more ... well. I wouldn't be a Whore if all I did was correspond to a single individual thereby.

The Otherlore

I first had to come to this understanding of myself, that I would very well Love to flourish within those conditions – and it was 'then', that I could think of it as a potential priority. Well, there also was that occasional getting "Raped" by an Invisible Force thing going on, that really get me going, but well. Still, after all I relate to it all like "if this and that could be so and so, then yea ... ". After all, sex isn't equal to any sex. And my head is full of horror images that wouldn't make me wanna Which in a way had me set up against myself – allthe while something always kept poking through the veil from the inside. With those priorities – and the corresponding conditions – there might be a variety of places where I might end up in. Other than the ones I did end up in. Though not too far off. But as the story is told, in my "head" (mind and soul)/heart; After I committed to that step; God cast me into the realm of Darkness and there I was courted by its authorities or what. So, technically the Devil – which is good enough for the abstract. Short version. And due to how the Light interacted with these ... things taking shape ... they're well alive within me.

How I committed to that step, might also be worth noting. Because there was barely another way to make sense of my Clarity, I had this growing hypothesis of different religions thing going on. And I suppose that as this happened, God would prevent my wisdom from making certain connections that would hinder the development of this theory. So, had I produced other, similarly valid alternatives, I suppose those would still have grown. But so I found myself at the point where that didn't happen, And I eventually had to make a step; To so by whatever made sense to my mind, make it a reality. I.E.: Praying to "that Devil". And I didn't. I was too scared or whatever. And eventually this dark ... nasty ... thing would manifest in my heart. The more I would resist, the more nasty it would get. Like, literally, glass shards emerging from a black goo that my heart was wrapped into. Really thick glass shards. Really nasty. And nothing helped. And then eventually I did it. Everything felt nice and awesome. Then it was a bit weird. Then awesome again. And weird again.

And, I haven't gotten it all figured out. But I suppose that calling this Religion "Satanism" is ... intuitive and good enough. So am I living in the realm of Darkness, have affiliated myself with "the Devil" - am his Sex-Slave, compelled to being a Sex-Slave; While all in all engaging with the divine Light on a basis of LUST. So, an ero-romantic energy that flourishes in thoughts of sexual submission. This is also separated enough for me to see it as its own kind of Order. "The Order of LUST" – a.k.a. religiously committed whores – and it's all about being sexual, polyamory with extensions and ... enjoying that side of co-existence. While all in all I certainly find myself in a privileged position in as far as the common whole is concerned. Does it make sense? Well, to me it does. But yea. It's not really what one would associate with Christ. Not because Lust is filthy, but because LUST is filthy – and ... more so relates to the transcendental divine rather than the manifest divine.

3. Esoteric

First, for this part, we'll have to get on the same page on what this word means. It's not too too important, but on and off I'll use it – and it's important enough for me to want to make sure it's understood the way it's supposed to. So, fun with definitions:

1. Intended for or understood by only a small group, especially one with specialized knowledge or interests: synonym: mysterious.

NO-. Here I may also have my own understanding of what is 'mysterious'. Thereby the esoteric and the mysterious are opposites. A Mystery is something that is unknown. We may **see things** we don't understand - thus the truth thereof is a mystery.

2. Relating to or being a small group with specialized knowledge or interests.

Yesn't.

(source: wordnik.com via a simple websearch (search results page plugin thingy))

→ Esoterik (von altgriechisch ἑσωτερικός esōterikós ‚innerlich‘, dem inneren Bereich zugehörig, von innen her [verstehbar]) = cool!

→ The concept of the "esoteric" originated in the 2nd century with the coining of the Ancient Greek adjective esōterikós ("belonging to an inner circle") = not cool!

(source: Wikipedia (german and english))

Here we get into trans-lingual spaghetti, as I like to call it. Perhaps I'm just weird about it; But well. 'Dem inneren Bereich zugehörig' can be translated to "belonging to an inner circle", but 'Bereich', here translated into 'circle', more generally means 'Zone' or 'Area'. Then we also have the definition "von innen her [verstehbar]" - so "[comprehensive] from within". So, sure, from a given angle we can read it through this whole "inner circle" nonsense. But that to me is what 'Arcane' means. So, like oral tradition that creates an in- and an out- group with secrets and stuff.

Maybe it should upset some people, but the "innerly" (ἑσωτερικός) to me **mostly** refers to the spiritual. We might also say transcendental. And in as far as esoteric knowledge isn't necessarily obvious ... it could be considered Arcane. Or Mysterious. But so we can see, the language is doing work here.

The reason to separate these would be to distinguish the meaning of what is Arcane or Mysterious from the concept of what is Esoteric.

The best example for what I understand to be esoteric is Math. By establishing certain axioms we outline an innerly reality - in this case what I would call a 'frozen reality' (or frozen truths). Frozen realities are concepts that (generally?) make sense regardless of external conditions. It is ... esoteric knowledge. The challenge then being to translate them into

working together, rather than blind folks stumbling through the ages kind of way.

In our western world, building upon my ideas might further be more complicated than if you're pretty much starting from nothing. So are aspects that don't exist yet easier to come by than implementing some kind of competition to already existing ones. Which means we can approach this like a military campaign of sorts. Restructuring the preset base is more difficult than stomping out an expansion. Sotospeak.

So, when speaking of logistics or some postal service, we can see it as a foundation for altruistic endeavors such as charities. Or a foundation to connect bodies across the world on a material basis. When speaking of press, I think of Art & Culture, a.k.a. people use what they got to do something they think meets a demand or does something good that people might enjoy – and we can learn to rely on it as though it were its own thing. Give or take.

So, we don't need the terms I put forward to get things done. But if it works, we can find a relationship to them concerning whatever we do. So, environmental protection for instance. Call it Homeland Security, make it military – and we got ourselves a way to manage our own expansion within the environments we occupy.

But yes. "Whatever". So, to me – there's an inspiration to this. It came about once I got past the pessimistic back and forth of what I had to share. Though in some way, it's just fancy words to draw a concept of socialism/communism – which I assume is an inspired concept too. So, in a way, whether you're gnostically enlightened or not, doesn't change much to the point of those things. My spin on it is to however approach it from a position of religious unity. Which is a thing so abstract and out there – that, if we can realistically fathom its existence – it's like ... we can do anything!

A nice allegory to get behind all that, is the story of the mirror-pillar/column whatever. Post. So, a bunch of guys came into a town and unloaded a mirror column. They stood it there and left. Then people came up to it and looked into it. But what they saw were distorted images of themselves. Eventually they disliked it – and moved it somewhere else. There again people would come by, look at it, much to the same effect. And so it continued. Until the column was stood at a beach. There, a person came by - looked at it ... saw itself ... and was amazed.

The thing is, that whatever now is valid for me – may to you be as a look into that column. You try to apply the rules I live by to yourself – but you ... wouldn't like it. So yea, to say: One person's heaven is another person's Hell. And now we might add: Perhaps even literally so. Wanna be ravaged by *Demons*? I know that at least theoretically I'm not alone in the "Yes Please!" camp. On the other hand I know for instance that some people really love to cook. And I ... can't really find much peace with it.

The thing then is, that ... what we're talking about here aren't simply activities. Now, if you're in your puberty or otherwise juvenile, you might find the realm of Darkness to be amazing. Wondering, why anyone would want to be anywhere else, ever. I see a lot of memes on the internet that would suggest as much. But there's a little ... distortion there. Those memes generally build upon an "I don't care" or "do whatever you want" attitude in contrast to Christian joykilling. Although a lot of people would only relate to it in a "the fun things" "for as long as nobody gets hurt" kind of way – there's also that YOLO (You Only Live Once) attitude to it that I really dislike. No, that's an understatement. I have a deep, seething hatred for it. It's a glorification of carelessness and irresponsibility. And in as far as my Clarity is very BDSM heavy, I can't deal with carelessness and irresponsibility.

So, what your Juvenile self, that is really hyped to praise Satan, may be bound to find is, that this lifestyle comes now with ... potential downsides. Things you didn't consider ... but are innate to it. Say, mental enslavement. Like being possessed by a demon, brainwashed, bewitched, that sort of thing. A kind of submission to a doctrine that aligns us to coexist along a sense of discipline bound to compulsions. Compulsions in general being of greater significance than most of anything else, but that would also only entail certain compulsions. The greater value of it all existing on an emotional plane, one heavy in commitment to other people - while most of the rest exists in a kind of stasis. A stasis that isn't absolute, for growing as an individual is still a thing – but it all is still very reluctant to change. And it all pretty much hinges on the divine Light to uphold the necessary tensions. For instance.

On the other hand however – I'm sure that discipline or being disciplined isn't a word that any people would describe me by. I would, probably – if I hadn't enough reason to see that people couldn't take me serious on it. But that's, I reckon, because my concept of it is predicated on wildly out of the ordinary conditions. Or I'm a bad girl. Who knows?

And finally, the idea isn't that there are strict boundaries between the realms. The story is more one of priorities. Priorities that put us into

certain realms will come with a certain ... cost we might say. And then the next, and the next. So we eventually may have smooth transitions between the realms when it comes to where folks find themselves. Special relationships might be one reason to find yourself between the lines.

Light and Dark
here
supplementarily
oppose each other
as progress and
tradition.

I eventually came down to think of 5 domains in total. Like the color pie in Magic -The Gathering. Four directions and what is in the middle. Light and Dark oppose each other – and to the sides we have ... I'd put it as the Shamanistic/Nature bound opposed by the Spiritual/Mind bound. In the center then ... we have those that can't identify too much with that kind of nonsense.

With that now out of the way, we can continue with less abstract matters.

B. PERSONALITY AND THE COLLECTIVE

Reality

God, I believe, did not create the world only for a small minority of people. In as far as we are all related – and in as far as Christ sent his disciples out into the world – and in as wide as God has stretched the Heavens – there is plenty of space for everyone.

But either way one might want to conduct themselves in support to this, one is right there in the trenches of politics. Like, yes. Technically all Christians are Socialists. It should be considered a sacred Duty. But it's also not that simple.

But so is the thing, that every religious unity – or other organized entity – would develop some kind of governmental structures and hierarchies. Because I however am biased by things I read in the Bible, by how I interpret prophecy and by how strongly I believe in the value of what I have to say, I however do still understand the organization to be(come) of this to be the “of course” “World Government” that will emerge after the Fall of Babylon. Let's hope I'm not wrong!

What this fall is going to be like is hard to tell. Of course there's our desire to basically see it sooner rather than later; And we might find lot's of reasons to deem it justified. But, I still mean to be cautious to not boldly claim something I cannot claim with certainty.

But so there's this tree of possibilities again. And whether we, due to the numbers, come to political power – or in revision of our beliefs were assessed concerning what we'd do politically if we could – there is always that issue with numbers. Beneath a certain threshold, this is just going to be some fun alternate worldview one can engage in and beyond a certain threshold, we'll have to be worried about all of a sudden taking care of military stuff. So, optimism is difficult in here in how far it takes us away from what to consider when even just slightly less optimistic.

A Gnostic World Union

as central hubs for the resource and product transit of a given area; And subsequently function as trade hubs for transit between Nodes. Thereby I further think of Gateway Logistics and Terminal Logistics. Gateway Logistics is all the logistical transit within a given node; Which would so entail public transportation, garbage collection, maintenance work – construction force, such and such. Terminal Logistics is all the transit between nodes.

Work profiles for consolidated structures would then allow us to manage work load and demand – say, what amount of workers can do what amount of work – and how many of which machine and such is present or needed. There to so there would need to be a structure of “Asset production”. We'd also have to figure things out between special (rare, industrial demand), restricted (potential danger, strategic value) and luxury (rare, consumer demand) goods.

But yea. None of this could work without the will to do so – and I doubt we could just draw things out until everything ought to work and then expect folks to just kinda ... exist accordingly. At least I don't think that the latter here is a reasonable expectation. So, we would perhaps start with unionization – and organize it so that we can then in conjunction with resource/product logging (transparent flow) open things up for public discussion, optimization and the generation of work profiles and chains. On the other side I think a very good idea is to link Taxes to a personal investment profile. Though, in normal world circumstances there's ... issues I'd be concerned about. But also we'd have to see either way and very likely maintain flexibility. But ... that's like, getting too political right now. At the end of the day however that's one way to start thinking of an alternative to money. But well ...

Whether by my design or not – the will needs to be there. But also the wisdom. After all, we can do what we want. More or less.

So, in other words: For all I care, “church” could mean as much as singing Kumba-Yah all day long. But in as far as we gather with a given tension or curiosity towards what we might do – perhaps in face of projected perils of the future or simply the “better future” thing just in general – it will be a forum for, I would assume: Enlightened conversation regarding these things. And in as far as a crumbling economy or torn apart communal identity provides, what changes is that our ideas of what could be done become more meaningful. In as far as vigilante movements already emerge pretty much “on their own”, there's further the concern of what that implies, for us. So yea, real trouble is conducive to modes of behavior that would be out of the ordinary. Which I can here induce as justifications for doing more than just singing Kumba-Yah, but ... I would also think this is just to highlight the virtue behind our human desires and gnostic motivations.

And sure. Whether the personality aspect here is of the individual or wound up in a group – doesn't really matter too much. At the end of the day ... “together, monkey strong!”. So however more in a ... seeing people

In as far as LogEx is “the Economy” - it corresponds to “the Working Class”, hard physical labor, production skills; Although in certain cases R&D gets a leading role when it comes to Architecture for instance. One way to tie things together might be “task profiles” that might relate to more or less independent organizations. There so for instance is an inevitable need for a Construction Force, which we probably should further split into a Global and a Local aspect. When it comes to the really big stuff, we get to the Global Construction Force and when it comes to general needs we get to the Local Construction Force.

Beyond that, the boundaries are mostly just for organizational reasons. For it to work, we need to be all on board. Every element needs to realize its role in supporting the greater whole, whereby the greater whole exists in interest of the individual. On the one side of that we have our Faculty of Existentialism – as perhaps a general measurement of our wealth – and on the other we have the Guilds of Resource Extraction. And whether or not we need money, or some kind of something like that, is a different story.

Overall, the idea is this: We want to be Entertained. And we want to have a good, comprehensive, competent and stuff ... Forum for global, public discourse and decision making. And so we agree, that they will need stuff to do that stuff with. We thereby, naturally, agree that raw resources won't do the trick. And we also, most certainly agree, that we generally want to extract resources to make stuff with them. Thereto, we will all need to agree with:

The Theory of Work:

Realistically, there is an amount of resources we realistically have access to. Realistically. For Real IARL. Concerning that, there is an amount of work to fully transform all those resources into desired end-products. Being a bit more complicated is to figure a margin of work in form of a secondary labor force (buffer) to account for the fluctuation in demand. Point being: → Counter to “popular belief”, Work can't really be generated. Finite Resources, Finite Labor.

And from respective videogames we learn that a certain surplus is mandatory for growth.

This is then where Guilds come in. While we can agree that this all has to happen, we don't know how. So, in the idea then, the Farmers Guild agrees to supply the [Resource] Guild – which encompasses extraction and refinement – with food. The [Resource] Guild then is able to produce an amount of things – which are now on the supply side. To that we then have all the Guilds that want some of what they produce. Each of those demands comes with backup and profiles. A product that is needed by other crafts, will thus have a relative demand priority. More complex products that have a lot of dependencies have a filtered demand. Unto all that comes consumer demand. And then ... things will just have to run for a while for some equilibrium to settle in.

Then, for purposes of organization, we might consider organizing into Nodes. Nodes thereby being the capitals of set regions that function

From the get go, we're secularists. We do intrinsically separate between real world issues and religious concerns.

Being secularists, there is a first measure of growth I am curious about. Which is the point at which we have a sophisticated understanding of the various religions that emerge; And some kind of spiritual leadership corresponding to them. At some point we would be able to finance a rudimentary sort of administration – alongside some general “political” activism that would emerge as part of the collective unity. This could or would extend into a variety of things. On the one side we had matters concerning our Spirituality – and on the other side we would have entrepreneurial motivations between altruistic projects and more sophisticated endeavors.

That could then be our little thing. In that sense, to make sense of it structurally, I first think of “the Ekklesia”. It's the institution to reside over our religious well-being. So, “the Church” (*ekklēsia* ([Latin: ecclesia](#)) meaning “[congregation, church](#)”). So, in as far as anything concerning our spirituality comes together in some centralized manner – including the recognition of the religions as part of the whole, this is it. At first however, it is only a hypothetical that sits above “the Monasteries”. Monasteries would be our central Hubs for all things concerning Salvation. This until we start to extend into the Churches. First and foremost, the Central Church. The central church most directly corresponds to the Ekklesia; And would eventually serve to be our community Hub.

So, depending on how the numbers work with us – we'll either just have some shabby “Monasteries” floating around (if anything), or beyond that less shabby Monasteries adjacent to actual Assembly structures; And beyond that an institution, administratively dedicated to our more and less serious concerns relating to the divine and our religions. We could call it (the Ekklesia) our “Ministry of Truth”. And its validity exists in as far as our symbiotic relationships with the divine can confirm it so.

So, whether Gnosis is a religion or not – depends on how you want to engage with it. Strictly speaking it is not, but in as far as we congregate around maintaining religious matters such as Lore and Rituals, the institution itself is certainly a religious one.

But well. So, things that would matter were ... global connectivity on one end ... which can imply a real lot – and on the other hand there's the worldly footprint of our collective effort. Which would make it even more.

Size Matters

Size Matters, because at some point we might just be too many for Gnosticism to remain contained within the Religious environments. We would eventually vote for Gnostic parties or what works like so; And while we ourselves would also try to organize in a socialistic manner, well – there's the question of legality. What can and can we not do or try or assume to be possible? I don't know much about it – but to be cautious when it comes to such issues.

But in as far as we here in Germany pay Church Taxes, one can opt out of, there is at least some precedent for religious unity in a secularist context. But yes. Here we come to the matter of those who don't like what we do; Possibly sitting on the fence, worried for when we might come to cease their property.

And, I don't know. I don't care. Obviously this is complicated, but – I don't have the mental resources to think this through. I'm more concerned of homelessness as a concept; Where ... I'm convinced that some would choose to be homeless regardless of what we might have to offer. Outside of corresponding support structures. This I jokingly refer to as "the Faculty of Existentialism".

But then, with sufficient size – and it might start small – we would seek to reshape/reorganize the Economy or the way we do international cooperation; And that eventually takes me to my personal Vision of a Gnostic Utopia.

A Gnostic Utopia

First: In case you wonder what anything of this has to do with Personality, the thing is that it made for a great segue into the topic. On the other hand however, all of this so far was a segue to get to tell you this: Everything!

This whole document, is a product of personal ambition and engagement. And God did what He did to help it become what it is. So, what we can accomplish is down to what we can do and possibly how God can factor in. Where what we can do collectively, is a matter of what we're down for individually. But more to the point:

The problem with any Utopia – as I see it – is, that theory doesn't help us understand the things that require expertise that cannot simply be attained by thinking hard enough about a thing. Next to which we'd just expect people to understand how to live inside of it. As for what I have here, there are loosely connected concepts of structures or systems; Where the problem begins with my inability to properly connect them. And whether they help or not is something I'm not really sure about. In that regard they are more of a philosophy or esoteric imagining. But it might help people to envision a start. And so ...

- We begin with two general, structural concepts:
1. The Three **Esoteric Rings** of Harmony
 2. The Seven Pillars

For ease of understanding, are they two sides of the same coin. The Three Esoteric Rings being "the Female", and the Seven Pillars being "the Male" aspect thereof. Also they don't mirror each other.

Somewhat aligned to our Hunter-Gatherer roots, the female side corresponds to "the Homestead", whereas the male corresponds to "the Pastures". Or, with a bit of a humorous twist, does the female correspond to the demand side of things; And the male respectively to the supply side.

The Three Esoteric Rings are thereby whatever we need them to be. The name is mostly just because it makes for a good symbol for the envisioned Unity. Three also is a Magic Number; And whether or not it's useful to organize things in sets of threes will have to be determined on a case by case basis. In essence we can thereby envision it as the underbelly of the civilization. So, while the structured world with its many things would follow its own rules to function, the underbelly is there to bypass the bureaucracy of it all in as far as possible/meaningful.

I thereby also imagine it as the backbone for crisis' and other things like that. So, in as far as we'd pool things together and try to get organized – this is as close to Church based efforts as it gets. So yea, the point is pretty much to have no boundaries and remain flexible with what we can do. On the other side the Homestead is however also about care. So, everything we'd deem to be human rights – like Education and Health Care – would be of concern here. So, the essentials. Perhaps the Lifeboat of civilization even.

The Seven Pillars are these:

	Suggestive elaboration blorp:
Management	Administration and Record Keeping. Central hub of Civics. → Ekklesiastic
Priesthood	Auxiliary Administration and Record Keeping. Central Hub of Knowledge and Ethics. → Education
Judges	Law and Law-Enforcement. Hub of Mediation and Critical Leadership. → Investigation and Security (I&S)
Logistics and Expansion	Logistics of Resources, Production and Distribution. Hub of civic expansion and organization. → Guilds
Military	Perimeter Security, Critical Action. Hub of Pioneering and Survival. → StratOs (Strategic Operations)
Art and Culture	This. → Yes
Research and Development	Applied Sciences. Hub of [Top Secret] and general Upgradery. → ???

And in the idea, these are the structures/things we need in order to evolve from an amorphous blob into a functional civilization.

We start with the Military. This is how the amorphous blob at first gets its shape. Next, there's Logistics and Expansion. This is what we nowadays would call "the Economy". So, as for our Gnostic Government and reshaping the Economy, the Government would be in control of the Economy, while the Military and other things would become independent bodies/entities. This then gives rise to the Priesthood, a.k.a. the Spiritual mediators. Logistics and Expansion would then further give rise to R&D, Management and Judges. Art and Culture would in all of this pretty much rise on its own – while all of it naturally rests on the shoulders of Logistics and Expansion. Or Management. Or whatever. Depending on how we're looking at it. As all is eventually somewhat connected.

